Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/070,338	KARAM ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	GREG BENGZON	2444
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) GREG BENGZON.	(3) Matthew Ellsworth, Reg.56345.	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 1,24,54		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Examiner Bengzon discussed possible claim amendments to overcome USC 101 issues and further prosecution towards allowance. Mr. Elisworth presented claim amendments and indicated authorization for Examiner's Amendments.		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, alnow the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/G. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444		
	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature - if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-4138 (04-03)